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## Scope and Methodology

This report reviews the investment performance of Minnesota's large public pension plans for the 2011 calendar year. The three individual large local public pension plans included in this report are the Bloomington Fire Department Relief Association, the Duluth Teachers' Retirement Fund Association, and the St. Paul Teachers' Retirement Fund Association. ${ }^{1}$ The State Board of Investment (SBI), which is referenced frequently, is not a pension plan, but invests the assets of certain public employee pension plans administered by the Minnesota State Retirement System, the Public Employees Retirement Association, and the Teachers Retirement Association.

These pension plans and the SBI held nearly $\$ 48.1$ billion in assets as of December 31, 2011, which represents the retirement savings of hundreds of thousands of public employees.

Limited information for the University of Minnesota Supplemental Benefits Plan is also included in this report. This plan has a total market value of less than $\$ 25$ million, so its statutory reporting requirements are less than the reporting requirements for the other plans included in this report. Therefore, only a brief description of activities for the plan is provided, and the plan is not included in the report tables.

The pension plans are required under Minnesota Statutes, section 356.219, to annually report investment information to the Office of the State Auditor, and the State Auditor is required to provide a report to the legislature on the investment performance of these plans. One way to measure investment performance is to calculate a rate of return which can then be used to compare a plan against a benchmark or against other plans. The methodologies used by each of the large plans to calculate rates of return may vary from one another and from the methodology used by the Office of the State Auditor. To obtain analogous comparisons of investment performance, the Office of the State Auditor calculates rates of return using a uniform calculation method. ${ }^{2}$ Using a uniform calculation method allows for a fair comparison of performance among plans.

Oversight of these pension plans is important to safeguard the pensions of public employees and to control local and state liabilities. This report informs lawmakers of the large plans' investment performance, educates fiduciaries and members of the plans, and provides transparency to the public.

[^0]This page left blank intentionally.

## Executive Summary

## Current Trends

- During 2011, rates of return for the large plans ranged from negative 0.7 percent (Duluth Teachers') to 0.3 percent (Bloomington Fire). All of the plans failed to meet their respective benchmarks. The rate of return for the SBI's Combined Funds was 1.5 percent. (Pages 7 through 14)
- All of the large plans except for Bloomington Fire ended 2011 with funding ratios below 100 percent. St. Paul Teachers' was the only plan that experienced an increase in its funding ratio. St. Paul Teachers' had a funding ratio of 70.0 percent. Bloomington Fire and Duluth Teachers' both saw decreases in their funding ratios. Their funding ratios were 102.7 percent and 73.2 percent, respectively. (Page 15)


## Long-Term Trends

- The ten-year period from January 2002 through December 2011 provided minimal investment growth. Although none of the large plans were able to meet their actuarial assumed rates of return over this period, most of the plans were able to keep up with or exceed market returns. The best-performing plan over the ten-year period was St. Paul Teachers,' which earned 5.9 percent. The SBI’s Combined Funds returned 5.7 percent for the period. (Pages 19 and 20)
- The plans with the lowest rates of return for the ten-year period were Bloomington Fire and Duluth Teachers,' with returns of 3.3 percent and 3.8 percent, respectively. Neither of these plans met their benchmarks for 2011. (Pages 19 and 20)

This page left blank intentionally.

## Understanding Investment Performance Terms

## Rate of Return

The gain or loss on an investment over a specified period, usually expressed as a percentage increase over the initial investment cost.


#### Abstract

Asset Allocation Asset allocation describes the practice of distributing the assets of a portfolio among different types of investment classes, such as stocks, bonds, cash, and real estate. By diversifying assets, the goal is to create a favorable risk/reward ratio for a portfolio. When one asset class declines, the expectation is another will increase and offset the loss.


## Passive Investment Strategy

Passive investment strategy or passive management is more commonly called indexing. Indexing is an investment management approach based on investing in the same securities, and in the same proportions, as a specific index. The management style is considered passive because portfolio managers do not make decisions about which securities to buy and sell. Instead, managers simply copy the index by purchasing the same securities included in a particular stock or bond market index.

## Active Investment Strategy

Active investment strategy or active management is an attempt to outperform the market as measured by a particular benchmark or index. The aim of active fund management is to outperform, after fees are paid, the index that a particular fund is benchmarked against. Prevailing market trends, the economy, political and other current events, and companyspecific factors, such as earnings growth, all affect an active manager’s decisions. Active investing often has higher costs than passive investing. These extra expenses may reduce any extra gains an active manager might earn.

## Enhanced Indexing Investment Strategy

Enhanced indexing investment strategy or enhanced indexing is a hybrid strategy used by many of the large plans. Enhanced indexing combines both passive and active investment strategies and attempts to generate rates of return that are slightly higher than that of an index fund or other passive management techniques.

## Benchmark

A benchmark is an index to which a plan compares its investments. Market benchmarks are used by individual investors, portfolio managers, and market researchers to determine how well a particular market or market sector performs. Investors look to broad indices as benchmarks to help them gauge not only how well the markets are performing, but also how well they are performing as investors.

## Why Benchmarks are Important

Measuring investment returns against an appropriate benchmark encourages prudent investment decisions and gives trustees a fair depiction of how a plan's investments are performing. The key to establishing the most accurate benchmark for a portfolio is determining which indices best track investments similar to the asset allocation of the investment policy. An ideal benchmark return would consist of a hypothetical portfolio of indices, invested in the same asset classes and in the same proportion as the actual holdings of the entire portfolio. Indices track different asset classes, such as domestic equity or bonds. An index may also track subsets of an asset class, such as smallcapitalization growth domestic equity. Although benchmark indices are not directly available for investment purposes, mutual and collective index funds which hold the same securities as the index can be obtained. Known as "index funds," these funds are managed with a passive style. Figure 1 below compares the 2011 rates of return and benchmark returns for the large plans and the State Board of Investment (SBI).

Figure 1: 2011 Rates of Return and Benchmark Return


## 2011 Performance Analysis

Investment returns fluctuated by asset class in 2011, following steady gains in 2010. The S\&P 500 Index, which is a gauge of the large-capitalization U.S. equities market, returned 2.1 percent in 2011. The Russell 3000 Index measures the performance of the largest 3,000 U.S. companies, representing nearly all of the U.S. equity market. The Russell 3000 Index returned 1.0 percent.

International equities did not perform as well in 2011 as in 2010, returning negative 13.7 percent as measured by the Morgan Stanley Capital International (MSCI) All-Country World Index excluding the United States (ACW Index ex. U.S.). This index measures the performance of both developed and emerging markets.

Domestic bonds posted another year of steady returns, returning 7.8 percent as measured by the Barclays Capital Aggregate Index. The 2011 return for bonds outperformed the 6.5 percent return achieved during 2010.

In 2011, economic indicators also improved slightly as unemployment dropped to 8.5 percent and consumer spending increased by 3.3 percent. The increase in spending was greater than the change in the Consumer Price Index, which rose 3.0 percent. The weak housing market and high unemployment rate, as well as European debt and other geopolitical issues, were concerns that contributed to the slow, but recovering, economy.

## Bloomington Fire Department Relief Association

The Bloomington Fire Department Relief Association consists of retired and active firefighters of the City of Bloomington. Bloomington Fire is the administrator of a single-employer defined benefit pension plan available to firefighters, which was established April 1, 1947. ${ }^{2}$ Bloomington Fire is governed by a Board of Trustees made up of six members elected by the members of the plan for three-year terms, and the Mayor, City Treasurer, and Fire Chief, who serve as ex officio voting members of the Board.

Bloomington Fire returned 0.3 percent in 2011, as calculated by the Office of the State Auditor. The return fell short of the plan's benchmark return of 3.3 percent.

Bloomington Fire's investment policy allocates 50.0 percent to domestic equities, 10.0 percent to international equities, 35.0 percent to bonds, and 5.0 percent to cash. The plan's actual allocation for 2011 consisted of 51.1 percent domestic equities, 12.6 percent

[^1]international equities, 33.2 percent fixed income, and 3.1 percent cash. From 2010 to 2011, Bloomington Fire increased its allocation to fixed income by 4.1 percent and decreased its allocation to cash by 20.5 percent.

At the end of 2011, 87.8 percent of Bloomington Fire's total assets were held in the SBI's Supplemental Fund: 30.3 percent of the plan's assets with the SBI were invested in the Common Stock Index Account; 14.7 percent in the Growth Share Account; 10.6 percent in the International Share Account; and 32.2 percent in the Bond Market Account.

The Common Stock Account is a Russell 3000 Index fund that returned 0.8 percent for the year. The Growth Share Account is an actively-managed domestic equity account that returned 0.1 percent for the year. Both domestic equity accounts failed to meet their benchmark S\&P 500 return of 2.1 percent. The International Share Account, consisting of active, semi-passive, and passive managers, returned negative 14.2 percent for the year. The account is compared to a composite index that returned negative 5.5 percent. The Bond Market Account, an actively-managed account, returned 7.2 percent, but did not meet its benchmark Barclays Capital Aggregate Index return of 7.8 percent.

Wells Fargo Advisors held 10.0 percent of Bloomington Fire's total assets. This account was invested in cash, individual stocks, fixed-income, and mutual funds. The Wells Fargo account returned negative 3.3 percent.

Bloomington Fire also held investments in an internally-managed account. The account held 2.2 percent of the plan's assets, and was entirely invested in short-term cash investments. The account returned 0.4 percent. Assets held by the internally-managed account decreased by 19.6 percent over the 2010 holdings.

## Duluth Teachers' Retirement Fund Association

The Duluth Teachers' Retirement Fund Association was established in 1910 to provide retirement coverage to eligible employees of the Duluth Public Schools. ${ }^{3}$ Eligible employees include the licensed, certified staff of Duluth Public Schools, certain staff at Lake Superior College hired prior to July 1, 1995 who elected to continue membership with the association, and association staff. Since 1964, the association also offers to members three tax-deferred 403(b) investment funds through payroll deduction with the school district. The association is governed by a nine-member Board of Trustees, which sets policy and oversees operations consistent with the applicable laws. The executive director is the administrative officer for the association.

Duluth Teachers' returned negative 0.7 percent in 2011, below its benchmark return of negative 0.4 percent. While international equity was the only asset class with a negative return during the year, its impact was significant enough to produce a negative overall return for the plan's total portfolio.

[^2]Duluth Teachers' updated its investment policy in December of 2011 to include a Purpose-Driven Portfolio strategy, which establishes policy weights for each asset class. In this structure, asset allocation is a function of aggregate portfolio goals. Broad asset classes are not the primary focus. Investment allocations are based upon their ability to further the goals of the total portfolio. The policy has four categories: Return Enhancers, Portfolio Stabilizers, Opportunistic, and Economic Hedges.

The Return Enhancers category includes domestic equity, international equity, and private equity. In the investment policy, a target allocation of 65.0 percent of the plan's assets was established for this category. Duluth Teachers' domestic equity portfolio returned 1.3 percent. Investments in large-capitalization stocks were held through a Wells Fargo S\&P 500 Index fund, which comprised 11.6 percent of the plan's total assets. The Wells Fargo fund returned 2.2 percent, falling closely in line with the benchmark S\&P 500 Index return of 2.1 percent. The small-capitalization growth segment of domestic equity was managed by Disciplined Growth Investors, which held 13.7 percent of Duluth Teachers' total assets. This fund returned a favorable 4.9 percent compared to a negative 2.9 percent return from the Russell 2000 Growth Index benchmark. The small- and mid-capitalization portfolio, comprising 7.9 percent of the plan's total assets, was managed by Wellington. The Wellington Fund returned negative 6.9 percent, while its Russell 2500 Value Index benchmark provided a negative 3.4 percent return.

Duluth Teachers’ international equities were managed by Artio Global Investors. International investments made up 22.6 percent of Duluth Teachers' total assets. The fund returned negative 11.1 percent, but still outperformed the benchmark MSCI ACW Index ex. U.S. return of negative 13.7 percent.

The plan's private equity funds were held by HarbourVest Partners and North Sky Capital. Private equity consists of equity securities in operating companies that are not publicly traded on a stock exchange. The assets were invested in venture funds, buyout funds, and international private equity funds. Investments in private equity comprised 9.9 percent of the plan's total assets at the end of the year and returned 16.5 percent.

The Portfolio Stabilizers category includes fixed-income investments, absolute-return strategies, and cash. A target allocation of 25.0 percent was established for this category. The fixed-income portfolio held 20.4 percent of the plan's total assets at the end of the year. Fixed-income investments managed by Western Asset Management returned 6.3 percent. The fund failed to reach the 7.8 percent benchmark return set by the Barclays Capital Aggregate Index. Metropolitan West, which reclassified its portfolio from domestic equity holdings to fixed-income holdings in 2009, comprised 1.7 percent of Duluth Teachers' total assets at the end of 2011. The fund's investments included government and investment grade corporate bonds, mortgage- and asset-backed securities, and non-U.S. fixed-income securities. The Metropolitan West fund returned negative 8.1 percent.

The Hussman Strategic Growth Fund is also classified in the Portfolio Stabilizers category. This risk-controlled equity fund sought to achieve long-term capital appreciation with added emphasis on the protection of capital during unfavorable market conditions. Comprising 5.0 percent of the plan's total assets, the fund returned 1.6 percent. The S\&P 500 Index benchmark for the fund provided a return of 2.1 percent. Duluth Teachers' held 2.8 percent of its total assets in cash at the end of 2011, which returned 0.1 percent.

The Opportunistic category includes unique or short-term investment opportunities. A target allocation of 5.0 percent was established for this category. In April 2011, Duluth Teachers' began investing in a fund with BlackRock. The fund returned 1.4 percent through the end of the year. During June 2011, a fund with Pacific Investment Management Company was opened, which returned 0.8 percent through 2011. The Opportunistic investments comprised 5.3 percent of the plan's total assets at the end of the year.

The Economic Hedges category includes real assets and real- and real-return bonds. A target allocation of 5.0 percent was established for this category. Duluth Teachers' real estate investments made up 0.8 percent of the plan's total assets. The investments performed well, returning 11.6 percent for the year. However, the plan's real estate investment return underperformed compared to the benchmark National Council of Real Estate Investment Fiduciaries (NCREIF) Property Index return of 14.3 percent. The plan's real estate investments consist of the buildings which house the plan's offices. Rental income from the buildings is included by Duluth Teachers' in the calculation of the investment return for real estate, which contributed to the high return of the asset class.

## St. Paul Teachers' Retirement Fund Association

The St. Paul Teachers' Retirement Fund Association is a non-profit organization formed in 1909. ${ }^{4}$ At the direction and oversight of a ten-member Board of Trustees, St. Paul Teachers' staff manage two tax-qualified, defined-benefit pension programs, a Basic Plan and a Coordinated Plan. These plans cover licensed personnel of the Independent School District (ISD) No. 625, which is the central administrative body for public schools within the City of St. Paul. Basic Plan members do not participate in Social Security through their employment with the ISD. In 1978, the Coordinated Plan commenced and it provides retirement benefits for members who simultaneously participate in Social Security.

St. Paul Teachers' returned negative 0.6 percent in 2011, as calculated by the Office of the State Auditor, falling short of its benchmark return of negative 0.3 percent. The plan's market value decreased by $\$ 66.7$ million. International equity and global returns

[^3]were the main contributors to the plan's overall reduction in market value. The asset allocation was in line with the plan's comprehensive investment policy.

The domestic equity holdings of St. Paul Teachers’ returned negative 0.9 percent, which was below the S\&P 500 Index return of 2.1 percent. St. Paul Teachers’ used the S\&P 500 Index as the large-capitalization benchmark. The BGI Russell 1000 Growth Index fund returned 2.7 percent and exceeded the Russell 1000 Growth Index benchmark of 2.6 percent. This fund was the plan's second largest large-capitalization fund. The Barrow \& Hanley fund's rate of return of 3.8 percent exceeded the Russell 1000 Value Index benchmark return of 0.4 percent. The BGI S\&P 500 Index fund returned 2.2 percent, exceeding the S\&P 500 Index benchmark of 2.1 percent. The large-capitalization growth fund managed by Fifth Third Asset Management returned negative 1.6 percent, which failed to meet its Russell 3000 Index benchmark of 1.0 percent. The lone midcapitalization fund managed by Wellington Management returned negative 6.7 percent. The small-capitalization fund managed by Boston Company returned negative 8.3 percent. The DFA 6-10 Value fund returned negative 7.3 percent, compared to its negative 5.5 percent benchmark return.

Fixed-income investments returned 8.3 percent, exceeding its Barclays Capital Aggregate Index benchmark return of 7.8 percent. The BGI U.S. Debt Index Fund returned 7.9 percent compared to the Barclays Capital Aggregate Index benchmark of 7.8 percent. St. Paul Teachers' fixed-income portfolio also included a passively-managed fund, the BGI G/C Index Fund, which returned 8.8 percent. Fixed-income investments made up 18.7 percent of the total portfolio, after accounting for 16.2 percent of the portfolio in 2010.

St. Paul Teachers' international equity portfolio returned negative 11.4 percent. The plan classifies the international equity managers as either developed or emerging market. The developed market funds, managed by JP Morgan and Morgan Stanley, track the MSCI EAFE Index benchmark, which returned negative 12.1 percent. The JP Morgan fund exceeded the benchmark, returning negative 10.1 percent, while the Morgan Stanley fund also exceeded the benchmark with a return of negative 8.1 percent. The emerging market segment played a significant role in the reduction of the overall return of the plan's international equity portfolio. The emerging market fund managed by Capital International returned negative 21.5 percent, missing the MSCI Emerging Markets Index benchmark of negative 18.2 percent.

St. Paul Teachers' was invested in a global equity fund managed by Lazard. The Lazard Thematic Global Fund returned negative 7.9 percent, falling short of the MSCI ACW Index benchmark of negative 6.9 percent.

Real estate holdings for St. Paul Teachers' returned 10.9 percent, compared to its NCREIF Property Index benchmark return of 14.3 percent. Real estate fund manager Advantus returned 6.1 percent, after returning 30.6 percent in 2010. The other real estate fund held by St. Paul Teachers' was UBS Trumbull, which returned 11.2 percent.

Alternative investments returned 21.8 percent, as calculated by the Office of the State Auditor. Alternative investments included funds managed by RWI Group, North Sky Capital, Blue Arc, and Force Ten Networks. St. Paul Teachers’ uses the weighted actual rate of return for its alternative investments as the benchmark for this asset class.

St. Paul Teachers' cash portfolio returned 7.1 percent. The cash portfolio includes a cash overlay account managed by the Clifton Group. The cash overlay account overlaid equity returns on cash, contributing to the strong rate of return for the cash portfolio.

## State Board of Investment

The State Board of Investment (SBI) was established by Article XI of the Minnesota Constitution to invest state funds. ${ }^{5}$ The SBI is responsible for the investment management of various retirement funds, trust funds, and cash accounts. Its membership, as specified in the Constitution, is comprised of the Governor (who is designated as chair of the Board), the State Auditor, the Secretary of State, and the State Attorney General. All investments undertaken by the SBI are governed by the prudent person rule and other standards codified in Minnesota Statutes, Chapter 11A and Chapter 356A.

The SBI's Combined Funds returned 1.5 percent in 2011, falling short of its 2.1 percent benchmark for the year. The SBI's domestic-equity, fixed-income, and internationalequity asset classes all failed to reach their respective benchmarks.

The SBI's domestic equity portfolio returned 0.4 percent, which was below the benchmark Russell 3000 Index return of 1.0 percent. The portfolio was managed by 19 active money managers, four semi-passive managers, and one passive manager. Each active manager was expected to exceed its respective Russell-style index over time by an amount appropriate for its active risk level. The semi-passive managers are expected to add incremental value relative to the Russell 1000 Index, employing a strategy that more closely tracks the benchmark than the active managers. The passive manager consistently tracks the Russell 3000 Index.

The fixed-income portfolio of the SBI returned 7.2 percent for the year, compared to the 7.8 percent benchmark return of the Barclays Capital Aggregate Index. An 18.0 percent allocation to fixed income was provided for in the SBI's investment policy, and the fixedincome portfolio made up 22.7 percent of the SBI's total assets at the end of the year. The SBI targeted no more than half of the fixed-income portfolio for active management, while having at least half managed semi-passively. The objective of the five active managers is to outperform the Barclays Capital Aggregate Index by focusing on high quality fixed-income securities across all sectors of the market. The three semi-passive managers had the goal of adding incremental value through superior bond selection and sector allocation rather than through interest-rate exposure.

[^4]The SBI's international equity portfolio returned negative 14.2 percent, failing to reach its benchmark MSCI ACW Index ex. U.S. return of negative 13.7 percent. The SBI allocates 15.0 percent to international equities in its investment policy, and at year-end international equities accounted for 14.1 percent of the total assets. The SBI's international equity portfolio had ten active managers, three semi-passive managers, and one passive manager. Seven of the ten active managers and the three semi-passive managers invest entirely in developed markets. The remaining three active managers invest solely in emerging markets. The SBI's target is to have at least one-third of the portfolio managed actively, no more than one-third managed semi-passively, and at least one-quarter managed passively.

The alternative investments of the SBI returned 12.6 percent, providing the highest return of all asset classes. The actual rate of return was used as the benchmark for this asset class. A target allocation of 20.0 percent is established in the investment policy, with an actual allocation of 15.6 percent to alternative investments at the end of 2011 . The majority of the portfolio was allocated to private equity, with assets also being held in yield-oriented investments, resource investments, and real estate.

## University of Minnesota Supplemental Benefits Plan

The University of Minnesota Supplemental Benefits Plan is a University-funded definedbenefit plan designed to provide additional retirement benefits for certain groups of individuals. ${ }^{6}$ As of July 1, 2011, the plan had six active members and 198 retirees and survivors who were receiving or were entitled to receive benefits. No additional participants in the plan are expected, as the eligible population is a closed group.

The Supplemental Benefits Plan is invested in the University of Minnesota Group Income Pool (GIP). The GIP is invested in a diversified bond fund, which is measured against the Barclays Capital Aggregate Index (after taxes and un-hedged). The Barclays Capital Aggregate Index returned 7.8 percent during 2011. The GIP returned 3.6 percent during the same period. The Supplemental Benefits Plan had a rate of return of 2.2 percent for 2011, as calculated by the Office of the State Auditor. The rate of return calculated by the Office of the State Auditor was for the Supplemental Benefits Plan only, and not for the entire GIP.

| Beginning Market Value | $\$ 1,970,490$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Net Cash Flows | $\$(299,451)$ |
| Investment Returns | $\$ 51,126$ |
| Ending Market Value | $\$ 1,722,165$ |
| OSA One-Year Rate of Return | $2.2 \%$ |
| Group Income Pool One-Year Rate of Return | $3.6 \%$ |
| Benchmark Rate of Return | $7.8 \%$ |

[^5]Figure 2 below shows the 2011 rates of return for the large plans and the SBI.

Figure 2: 2011 Rates of Return


## Funding Ratios

Funding ratios show the relationship between a plan's assets and its liabilities. A plan's liabilities are calculated by an actuary using statutory assumptions based on historical data. Examining the ratio between assets and liabilities can help determine how wellfunded the plan is, whether additional contributions to the plan will be needed, and whether future benefit increases would be sustainable. Investment returns, contributions, actuarial assumptions, plan provisions, changes in benefit levels, and historical funding issues can all have a direct influence on the financial health of the plan.

Bloomington Fire’s funding ratio decreased 2.6 percent during 2011. Bloomington Fire was the highest-funded large public pension plan, with a funding ratio of 102.7 percent (therefore, the plan has a surplus and has no unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities). Because the assets of Bloomington Fire are not smoothed, the funding ratio is subject to greater volatility than the other large plans. ${ }^{7}$

Duluth Teachers' funding ratio decreased 10.3 percent, for a funding ratio of 73.2 percent. Duluth Teachers' unfunded actuarial accrued liability amount was \$86.0 million. ${ }^{8}$

St. Paul Teachers’ funding ratio increased slightly to 70.0 percent. Its unfunded actuarial accrued liability was $\$ 417.2$ million.

Figure 3 below illustrates the funded ratios for the large plans.
Figure 3: Fiscal Year 2011 Funded Ratio Percentage


[^6]This page left blank intentionally.

## Administrative Expenses

Pension plans are permitted by state law to pay certain administrative expenses out of the pension plan's assets. These expenses include staff salaries, legal fees, professional services (including audit and actuarial fees), and other items such as travel, postage, and printing. Administrative expenses affect funding ratios and contribution rates since they come directly out of the pension plan's assets. It is important to limit expenses to those that are necessary and reasonable while still maintaining a well-managed pension plan.

St. Paul Teachers,' the largest plan, spent the most on administrative expenses, totaling $\$ 722,397$, a 20.0 percent increase from 2010. Duluth Teachers,' the next largest plan, spent $\$ 497,009$ on administrative expenses, which was a 1.7 percent decrease from the previous year.

Bloomington Fire, the smallest plan, increased its administrative expenses from the previous year by 6.0 percent. Bloomington Fire had the lowest total administrative expenses of the plans, at $\$ 79,771$.

St. Paul Teachers' had the highest total amount of administrative expenses, which was due in part to their legal fees, which increased by 658.3 percent from the previous year. Duluth Teachers’ legal fees increased by 106.8 percent and legal fees for Bloomington Fire decreased by 18.5 percent.

Total administrative expenses on a per-member basis remained fairly constant for each of the plans during 2011, and have remained fairly constant over the past ten years. ${ }^{9}$

Figure 4 on the next page provides further detail on the administrative expenses for the large plans.

[^7]Figure 4: Administrative Expenses for Fiscal Year 2011



Duluth Teachers' - \$497,009

## Ten-Year Performance Analysis

The volatility of rates of return over the last ten years has resulted in portfolio values remaining fairly constant. After rebounding in 2010, the Russell 3000 Index had a weak performance in 2011. Domestic equity, as measured by the Russell 3000 Index, posted positive returns during three of the ten years. This ten-year period will allow us to measure the performance of Minnesota's large plans during a time of stagnant investment growth.

The investment returns of Minnesota's large plans over the ten-year period ending December 31, 2011, can be assessed by using market indices as benchmarks. The U.S. stock market, as measured by the Russell 3000 Index, returned 3.5 percent over the tenyear period. Domestic equity represented the largest asset allocation for each of the large plans.

International equity, as measured by the MSCI EAFE Index, averaged 4.7 percent annually over the ten-year period. Emerging markets averaged an annual return of 14.2 percent over the period, as measured by the MSCI Emerging Markets Index.

The average bond market return over the ten-year period was 5.8 percent, as measured by the Barclays Capital Aggregate Index.

An example of a return that was calculated over the ten-year period is the State Board of Investment's Income Share Account. The target asset allocations for this account were 60.0 percent domestic equities, 35.0 percent bonds, and 5.0 percent cash. The stock component of this account is indexed to the Russell 3000 Index. The bond portion of this account is actively managed and includes corporate bonds, mortgage securities, and government-issued bonds. The Income Share Account represents a balanced fund. Over time this account is expected to average higher rates of return than a fixed-income or money market account. The Income Share Account's average annual rate of return for the ten-year period was 4.7 percent.

Bloomington Fire and Duluth Teachers' failed to meet the Income Share Account tenyear rate of return. All of the large plans failed to meet their actuarial assumed average annual rates of return over the ten-year period.

Of the large public pension plans, the top performing plan over the ten-year period was St. Paul Teachers', averaging a 5.9 percent annual rate of return. The SBI, which consolidated the basic and post funds in 2009, had a ten-year return of 5.7 percent. Duluth Teachers’ had a 3.8 percent rate of return over the ten-year period. Bloomington Fire had a ten-year return of 3.3 percent, the lowest of the large plans.

Figure 5 below shows the ten-year average annual rates of return for the large plans and for the SBI.

Figure 5: Ten-Year Average Annual Rates of Return (2002-2011)


## Why Rates of Return Matter

Rates of return matter because what may seem like small differences can make large differences in actual dollars. To put the rates of return into context, consider \$100 invested at 5.9 percent (the highest large-plan return) for ten years. At the end of ten years, you would have $\$ 177$. If you only earned 3.3 percent (the lowest large-plan return), you would have $\$ 137$ at the end of ten years. The higher rate of return yields nearly 29.2 percent more in actual dollars. If plan provisions are set up optimally, higher rates of return could allow for lower contribution rates and possible benefit increases. Although the growth won't be as steady as illustrated in the example below, the result will be the same. Additionally, all of the large plans have actuarial statutorily-assumed rates of return.

Figure 6 below shows the growth of $\$ 100$ at differing rates of return over a ten-year period.

Figure 6: Ten-Year Growth of $\$ 100$ at Differing Rates of Return
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## How to Read the Plan Summaries

The plan summaries on pages 27 through 30 of this report contain various acronyms and investment terms that are defined below.

## Rates of Return (ROR)

- OSA One-Year ROR - The pension plan's total return on its assets, as calculated by the Office of the State Auditor. Note: Under State law, the Duluth Teachers’ Retirement Fund Association and the State Board of Investment submit only limited reporting information. The rates of return for these two plans are provided by the plans and are not re-calculated by the Office of the State Auditor.
- Plan One-Year ROR - The pension plan's return on its assets as calculated by the plan or its consultant.
- Benchmark ROR - The rate of return of a hypothetical portfolio invested in the plan's chosen benchmark components in the percentages dictated by the plan's investment policy. Although not perfect, it is a good measure of what return the plan could have achieved during the year.
- Actuarial Assumed ROR - The rate of return required for the plan to meet its actuarial assumptions.
- Three-, Five-, and Ten-Year ROR - The average annual returns earned by the plan over the specified time period, either calculated by the Office of the State Auditor or reported by the plan. Note: Under State law, the Duluth Teachers' Retirement Fund Association and the State Board of Investment submit only limited reporting information. The rates of return for these two plans are provided by the plans and are not re-calculated by the Office of the State Auditor.


#### Abstract

Asset Class A group of similar investments, such as domestic equity, bonds, cash, or alternative investments. Pension plans invest in different asset classes for diversification purposes. When returns for one asset class decline, another may increase offsetting the loss. In this report, any account or fund that holds investments from more than one asset class is reported as its own asset class.


## Benchmark Components and Rates of Return

Benchmark components are the different indices to which the plan compares its investments. Indices track different asset classes, such as domestic equity or bonds. They may also track subsets of an asset class. To evaluate investment performance, it is important to use appropriate indices. The rates of return for each benchmark component are also provided.

## Policy Asset Allocation

The percentage allocated to each asset class in the investment policy.

## Actual Asset Allocation

The percentage actually invested in each asset class. In the plan summaries that follow, the actual asset allocation is measured as of the year-end.

## Beginning Market Value/Ending Market Value

The market value is the price as determined by buyers and sellers in an open market. The dollar amount in the asset class or investment as of the beginning/end of 2011 is the beginning market value/ending market value.

## Net Cash Flows

The net amount of cash (or securities) deposited or withdrawn from the asset class or investment during the year.

## Investment Return

The net amount of interest, dividends, and appreciation or depreciation the asset class or investment gained or lost during the year.

## Rate of Return

The net (after fees) return of the asset class or investment during the year.
Bloomington Fire Department Relief Association

| Actual <br> Asset Allocation |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Domestic Equities | $51.1 \%$ |
| International Equities | $12.6 \%$ |
| Fixed Income | $33.2 \%$ |
| Cash | $3.1 \%$ |





\[

\]




\[

\]

| Rates of Return (ROR) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| OSA One-Year ROR | 0.3 \% |
| Plan One-Year ROR | 0.4 \% |
| Benchmark ROR | 3.3 \% |
| Actuarial Assumed ROR - Active | 6.0 \% |
| Actuarial Assumed ROR - Retired | 6.0 \% |
| OSA Three-Year ROR | 9.4 \% |
| OSA Five-Year ROR | 1.0 \% |
| OSA Ten-Year ROR | 3.3 \% |
|  |  |
| Asset Class |  |
| Domestic Equities |  |
| International Equities |  |
| Fixed Income |  |
| Cash |  |
| Internally Managed |  |
| Wells Fargo |  |


| Investment Type |
| :--- |
| Domestic Equities |
| International Equities |
| Fixed Income |
| Cash |
| Balanced |
| Balanced |
| Total |


| Rates of Return (ROR) |  |
| :--- | ---: |
|  |  |
| Plan One-Year ROR | $(0.7) \%$ |
| Benchmark ROR | $(0.4) \%$ |
| Actuarial Assumed ROR - Active | $8.5 \%$ |
| Actuarial Assumed ROR - Retired | $8.5 \%$ |
| Plan Three-Year ROR | $11.1 \%$ |
| Plan Five-Year ROR | $(1.0) \%$ |
| Plan Ten-Year ROR | $3.8 \%$ |

Duluth Teachers' Retirement Fund Association
For the Year Ended December 31, 2011
(Dolalas in Thousands)





\[

\]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Investment Type } \\
& \hline \text { Domestic Equities } \\
& \text { Domestic Equities } \\
& \text { Fixed Income } \\
& \text { International Equities } \\
& \text { Cash } \\
& \text { Real Estate } \\
& \text { Private Equity } \\
& \text { Opportunistic } \\
& \text { Total }
\end{aligned}
$$

St. Paul Teachers' Retirement Fund Association
$=$


 \begin{tabular}{l}
$\quad \begin{array}{c}\text { Actual } \\
\text { Asset Allocation }\end{array}$ <br>
\hline Domestic Equities <br>
Domestic Fixed Income <br>
Real Estate <br>
International Equities <br>
Global Equities <br>
Alternative Assets <br>
Cash

 

\multicolumn{1}{c}{ Actual } <br>
Asset Allocation

 

\multicolumn{1}{c}{$\begin{array}{c}\text { Actual } \\
\text { Asset Allocation }\end{array}$} <br>
\hline Domestic Equities <br>
Domestic Fixed Income <br>
Real Estate <br>
International Equities <br>
Global Equities <br>
Alternative Assets <br>
Cash
\end{tabular}

|  |
| :--- |
| $42.1 \%$ |
|  |
| $18.7 \%$ |
| $10.0 \%$ |
| $22.3 \%$ |
|  |
| $4.1 \%$ |
| $1.2 \%$ |
| $1.6 \%$ |

 (Dollars in Thousands) Year En




| Rates of Return (ROR) |  |
| :--- | ---: |
|  |  |
| OSA One-Year ROR | $(0.6) \%$ |
| Plan One-Year ROR | $(1.3) \%$ |
| Benchmark ROR | $(0.3) \%$ |
| Actuarial Assumed ROR - Active | $8.5 \%$ |
| Actuarial Assumed ROR - Retired | $8.5 \%$ |
| OSA Three-Year ROR | $11.4 \%$ |
| OSA Five-Year ROR | $1.4 \%$ |
| OSA Ten-Year ROR | $5.9 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ The Alternative Assets beginning balance includes $\$ 15,709$ from the Blue Arc Fund not included in the 2010 ending market value.
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Table 1


| Public Pension Plans | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bloomington Fire | (14.4)\% | 19.4 \% | 9.5 \% | 4.7 \% | 13.0 \% | 6.9 \% | (25.2)\% | 15.6 \% | 13.0 \% | 0.3 \% |
| Duluth Teachers' | (12.6)\% | 28.2 \% | 10.6 \% | 7.6 \% | 14.7 \% | 6.6 \% | (35.1)\% | 19.2 \% | 16.0 \% | (0.7)\% |
| Minneapolis Fire | (10.0)\% | 20.0 \% | 10.1 \% | 6.6 \% | 12.5 \% | 11.5 \% | (28.7)\% | 27.2 \% | 12.0 \% | * |
| Minneapolis Police | (10.1)\% | 22.3 \% | 10.1 \% | 6.0 \% | 13.8 \% | 6.9\% | (29.5)\% | 26.7 \% | 13.9 \% | * |
| St. Paul Teachers' | (10.1)\% | 26.7 \% | 14.1 \% | 9.9 \% | 15.6 \% | 8.1\% | (28.2)\% | 22.4 \% | 13.7 \% | (0.6)\% |
| SBI Basic Fund | (11.6)\% | 22.7 \% | 13.0 \% | 10.2 \% | 14.7 \% | 9.7\% | (26.1)\% | $20.3 \%^{1}$ | 14.4 \% | 1.5 \% |
| SBI Post Fund | (11.6)\% | 23.5 \% | 11.8 \% | 9.6 \% | 14.5 \% | 9.2\% | (26.2)\% |  |  |  |

[^8] Retirement Association (PERA) in 2011.
Table 2
State of Minnesota Contributions
For Fiscal Years 2002 to 2011







| Public Pension Plans |
| :--- |
| Bloomington Fire (12/31) |
| Duluth Teachers' (6/30) |
| Minneapolis Fire (12/31) |
| Minneapolis Police (12/31) |
| St. Paul Teachers' (6/30) |
| Total | | Public Pension Plans |
| :--- |
| Bloomington Fire $(12 / 31)$ |
| Duluth Teachers' ( $6 / 30)$ |
| Minneapolis Fire $(12 / 31)$ |
| Minneapolis Police $(12 / 31)$ |
| St. Paul Teachers' $(6 / 30)$ |
| Total | as part of Employer Contributions).

Note: This table includes any amount from the State of Minnesota regardless of where it is reported in the financial statements (e.g.,


| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\$$ | 439,902 |
|  | - |
|  | $1,413,297$ |
|  | $2,275,349$ |
|  | $3,509,320$ |
| $\$$ | $7,637,868$ |

 Total




* The Minneapolis Firefighters' Relief Association and the Minneapolis Police Relief Association were rolled into the Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA) in 2011.
Table 3
Employer Contributions
For Fiscal Years 2002 to 2011

Contributions for financial reporting purposes, but they have been removed for purposes of this table.
* The Minneapolis Firefighters' Relief Association and the Minneapolis Police Relief Association were rolled into the Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA) in 2011.
Table 4
Employee Contributions
For Fiscal Years 2002 to 2011
Employee Contributions
For Fiscal Years 2002 to 2011


|  | 2011 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\$$ | - |
|  | $2,779,703$ |
|  | $*$ |
|  | $13,745,038$ |
| $\$$ | $16,524,741$ |

the Public


| 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\$$ | - |
|  | $2,899,071$ |
|  | - |
|  | - |
|  | $13,831,670$ |
| $\$$ | $\mathbf{1 6 , 7 3 0 , 7 4 1}$ | | 2004 |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\$$ | - |
|  | $2,991,801$ |
|  | 39,852 |
|  | - |
|  | $14,307,616$ |
| $\$$ | $\mathbf{1 7 , 3 3 9 , 2 6 9}$ |





Total

## Public Pension Plans <br> Bloomington Fire (12/31) Duluth Teachers' (6/30) Minneapolis Fire (12/31) Minneapolis Police (12/31) St. Paul Teachers' (6/30) Total

 Employees Retirement Association (PERA) in 2011.Table 5

* The Minneapolis Firefighters' Relief Association and the Minneapolis Police Relief Association were rolled into the Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA) in 2011.
Table 6
Note: Beneficiaries include retirees, disabled members and surviving spouses.
* The Minneapolis Firefighters' Relief Association and the Minneapolis Police Relief Association were rolled into the Public Employees
Retirement Association (PERA) in 2011.
Table 7
Note: Beneficiaries include retirees, disabled members and surviving spouses
* The Minneapolis Firefighters' Relief Association and the Minneapolis Police Relief Association were rolled into the Public Employees Percent Increase in Average of Total Benefits per Retired Member/Beneficiary
and the Consumer Price Index
For Fiscal Years 2002 to 2011










| Public Pension Plans |
| :--- |
| Consumer Price Index |
| Bloomington Fire $(12 / 31)$ |
| Duluth Teachers' $(6 / 30)$ |
| Minneapolis Fire $(12 / 31)$ |
| Minneapolis Police $(12 / 31)$ |
| St. Paul Teachers' $(6 / 30)$ |

Retirement Association (PERA) in 2011.
Table 8
Funded Ratio Percentage


 | 2009 |
| :---: |
| $99.01 \%$ |
| $76.55 \%$ |
| $79.07 \%$ |
| $66.87 \%$ |
| $72.20 \%$ |








| 2002 |
| ---: |
| $96.42 \%$ |
| $100.40 \%$ |
| $87.20 \%$ |
| $66.80 \%$ |
| $78.82 \%$ |


| Public Pension Plans |
| :--- |
| Bloomington Fire $(12 / 31)$ |
| Duluth Teachers' $(6 / 30)$ |
| Minneapolis Fire $(12 / 31)^{1}$ |
| Minneapolis Police $(12 / 31)^{1}$ |
| St. Paul Teachers' $(6 / 30)$ |

Note: The Funded Ratio Percentage is calculated by dividing the actuarial value of plan assets by the actuarial accrued liability.
${ }^{1}$ The Minneapolis Firefighters' Relief Association and the Minneapolis Police Relief Association were required to revise the 2008 actuarial valuation
pursuant to a court order dated November 20, 2009. The 2008 percentages are the resulting restated Funded Ratio Percentages.

* The Minneapolis Firefighters' Relief Association and the Minneapolis Police Relief Association were rolled into the Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA) in 2011.
Table 9
Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability For Fiscal Years 2002 to 2011

${ }^{1}$ The Minneapolis Firefighters' Relief Association and the Minneapolis Police Relief Association were required to revise the 2008 actuarial valuation pursuant to a court order dated November 20, 2009. The 2008 amounts are the resulting restated Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liabilities. Retirement Association (PERA) in 2011.
Table 10
Net Assets Held in Trust for Pension Benefits
For Fiscal Years 2002 to 2011

| Public Pension Plans | 2002 |  | 2003 |  | 2004 |  | 2005 |  | 2006 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bloomington Fire (12/31) | \$ | 78,447,410 | \$ | 91,904,997 | \$ | 101,341,890 | \$ | 105,139,140 | \$ | 116,978,895 |
| Duluth Teachers' (6/30) |  | 234,368,916 |  | 231,247,693 |  | 258,831,515 |  | 267,383,556 |  | 281,950,173 |
| Minneapolis Fire (12/31) |  | 226,580,974 |  | 250,351,289 |  | 254,086,792 |  | 254,424,228 |  | 265,244,602 |
| Minneapolis Police (12/31) |  | 277,143,300 |  | 323,467,991 |  | 348,910,983 |  | 366,406,914 |  | 390,831,714 |
| St. Paul Teachers' (6/30) |  | 768,931,641 |  | 757,639,499 |  | 871,902,589 |  | 934,667,364 |  | 1,005,745,229 |
| Total | \$ | 1,585,472,241 | \$ | 1,654,611,469 | \$ | 1,835,073,769 | \$ | 1,928,021,202 | \$ | 2,060,750,613 |
| Public Pension Plans |  | 2007 |  | 2008 |  | 2009 |  | 2010 |  | 2011 |
| Bloomington Fire (12/31) | \$ | 122,158,440 | \$ | 88,639,493 | \$ | 98,707,362 | \$ | 111,072,465 | \$ | 110,822,777 |
| Duluth Teachers' (6/30) |  | 318,973,530 |  | 271,616,844 |  | 179,933,200 |  | 192,402,546 |  | 213,367,995 |
| Minneapolis Fire (12/31) |  | 276,046,212 |  | 182,391,932 |  | 211,052,137 |  | 217,167,206 |  | * |
| Minneapolis Police (12/31) |  | 389,025,966 |  | 249,250,216 |  | 280,741,244 |  | 301,008,825 |  | * |
| St. Paul Teachers' (6/30) |  | 1,156,017,206 |  | 1,023,639,596 |  | 773,258,985 |  | 815,307,121 |  | 950,120,989 |
| Total | \$ | 2,262,221,354 | \$ | 1,815,538,081 | \$ | 1,543,692,928 | \$ | 1,636,958,163 | \$ | 1,274,311,761 |

Note: These Net Assets only include any net assets that are "Held in Trust for Pension Benefits."

* The Minneapolis Firefighters' Relief Association and the Minneapolis Police Relief Association were rolled into the Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA) in 2011.
Table 11


| Public Pension Plans | 2007 |  | 2008 |  | 2009 |  | 2010 |  | 2011 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bloomington Fire (12/31) | \$ | 395,335 | \$ | 288,728 | \$ | 322,573 | \$ | 365,370 | \$ | 368,182 |
| Duluth Teachers' (6/30) |  | 94,371 |  | 80,622 |  | 53,266 |  | 57,076 |  | 63,220 |
| Minneapolis Fire (12/31) |  | 454,023 |  | 309,139 |  | 370,267 |  | 394,133 |  | * |
| Minneapolis Police (12/31) |  | 445,110 |  | 289,826 |  | 334,614 |  | 369,790 |  | * |
| St. Paul Teachers' (6/30) |  | 116,171 |  | 101,652 |  | 76,206 |  | 80,223 |  | 91,640 |

Note: This calculation is the result of dividing the Net Assets Held in Trust for Pension Benefits by the Members at Fiscal Year End.

* The Minneapolis Firefighters' Relief Association and the Minneapolis Police Relief Association were rolled into the Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA) in 2011.
Table 12

* The Minneapolis Firefighters' Relief Association and the Minneapolis Police Relief Association were rolled into the Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA) in 2011.
Table 13


| Public Pension Plans |
| :--- |
| Bloomington Fire $(12 / 31)$ |
| Duluth Teachers' $(6 / 30)$ |
| Minneapolis Fire $(12 / 31)$ |
| Minneapolis Police $(12 / 31)$ |
| St. Paul Teachers' $(6 / 30)$ |
| Total |

Administrative Expenses For Fiscal Years 2002 to 2011
 Retirement Association (PERA) in 2011.
Table 14

Table 15

| 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 289 | 289 | 291 | 309 | 307 | 306 | 304 | 301 |
| 3,277 | 3,268 | 3,246 | 3,380 | 3,369 | 3,378 | 3,371 | 3,375 |
| 663 | 638 | 623 | 608 | 590 | 570 | 551 | * |
| 939 | 921 | 899 | 874 | 860 | 839 | 814 | * |
| 9,854 | 9,909 | 9,944 | 9,951 | 10,070 | 10,147 | 10,163 | 10,368 |
| 15,022 | 15,025 | 15,003 | 15,122 | 15,196 | 15,240 | 15,203 | 14,044 |


$\stackrel{N}{\text { N. }}$

| Public Pension Plans |
| :--- |
| Bloomington Fire $(12 / 31)$ |
| Duluth Teachers' $(6 / 30)$ |
| Minneapolis Fire $(12 / 31)$ |
| Minneapolis Police $(12 / 31)$ |
| St. Paul Teachers' $(6 / 30)$ |
| Total |

Note: "Members" is the sum of retired/beneficiary, terminated and active members at fiscal year end.

* The Minneapolis Firefighters' Relief Association and the Minneapolis Police Relief Association were rolled into the Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA) in 2011.
Table 16

| 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 141 | 139 | 147 | 149 | 151 | 161 | 160 | 163 | 166 | 171 |
| 1,085 | 1,107 | 1,137 | 1,153 | 1,190 | 1,227 | 1,243 | 1,264 | 1,295 | 1,344 |
| 634 | 630 | 621 | 601 | 592 | 581 | 563 | 546 | 528 | * |
| 928 | 935 | 921 | 904 | 884 | 860 | 846 | 828 | 806 | * |
| 2,136 | 2,248 | 2,361 | 2,505 | 2,624 | 2,738 | 2,851 | 2,933 | 3,044 | 3,212 |
| 4,924 | 5,059 | 5,187 | 5,312 | 5,441 | 5,567 | 5,663 | 5,734 | 5,839 | 4,727 |

End - Retirees \& Beneficiaries Receiving Benefits
For Fiscal Years 2002 to 2011
Note: Beneficiaries are defined as retirees, disabled members, and surviving spouses.

* The Minneapolis Firefighters' Relief Association and the Minneapolis Police Relief Association were rolled into the Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA) in 2011.
Table 17
Public Pension Plans

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { Bloomington Fire }(12 / 31) \\
& \text { Duluth Teachers' }(6 / 30) \\
& \text { Minneapolis Fire }(12 / 31) \\
& \text { Minneapolis Police }(12 / 31) \\
& \text { St. Paul Teachers' }(6 / 30) \\
& \text { Total }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Public Pension Plans } \\
& \hline \text { Bloomington Fire }(12 / 31) \\
& \text { Duluth Teachers' }(6 / 30) \\
& \text { Minneapolis Fire }(12 / 31) \\
& \text { Minneapolis Police }(12 / 31) \\
& \text { St. Paul Teachers' }(6 / 30)
\end{aligned}
$$

\[

\]

Investment Expenses
For Fiscal Years 2002 to 2011

| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\$$ | 17,251 |
|  | $1,758,675$ |
|  | $1,061,056$ |
|  | 555,491 |
|  | $5,064,712$ |
| $\$$ | $\mathbf{8 , 4 5 7 , 1 8 5}$ |

Total
Note: Investment Expenses excludes securities lending.

* The Minneapolis Firefighters' Relief Association and the Minneapolis Police Relief Association were rolled into the Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA) in 2011.

\[

\]
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Minneapolis Firefighters’ Relief Association and the Minneapolis Police Relief Association are not included in this report as both plans were rolled into the Public Employees Retirement Association in 2011.
    ${ }^{2}$ Minnesota Statutes, section 356.219, requires the Office of the State Auditor to compute and report total portfolio and asset class time-weighted rates of return, net of all costs and fees. The Duluth Teachers' Retirement Fund Association and the SBI are permitted by this statute to submit limited reporting information. Therefore, the rates of return included in this report for Duluth Teachers’ and the SBI are provided by both respective entities, and are not re-calculated by the Office of the State Auditor.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ See Bloomington Fire Department Relief Association December 31, 2011, Financial Statements and Management Letter, page nine.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ See Duluth Teachers' Retirement Fund Association 2011 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report Letter of Transmittal, page four.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ See St. Paul Teachers' Retirement Fund Association June 30, 2011, Financial Statements and Management Letter, page five.

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ See Minnesota State Board of Investment 2011 Annual Report, page one.

[^5]:    ${ }^{6}$ Plan and membership information was obtained from the University of Minnesota Supplemental Benefits Plan July 1, 2011, Actuarial Valuation, and from the Supplemental Benefits Plan Administrative Policy.

[^6]:    ${ }^{7}$ The other large plans included in this report have statutorily-required five-year asset-smoothing actuarial valuation of assets. See Minn. Stat. § 356.215, subd. 1(f).
    ${ }^{8}$ The unfunded actuarial accrued liability is the difference between the present value of benefits estimated to be payable to plan members as a result of their service through the valuation date and the actuarial value of plan assets available to pay those benefits.

[^7]:    ${ }^{9}$ See Table 14 on page 46 of this report.

[^8]:    * The Minneapolis Firefighters' Relief Association and the Minneapolis Police Relief Association were rolled into the Public Employees

